UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,925	02/05/2007	Hugh Fisher	28125-4	1156
21130 7590 09/15/2009 BENESCH, FRIEDLANDER, COPLAN & ARONOFF LLP ATTN: IP DEPARTMENT DOCKET CLERK 200 BURLIC SOLLARE			EXAMINER	
			GITLIN, MATTHEW J	
200 PUBLIC SQUARE SUITE 2300 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-2378 ART UNIT 3635		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3635		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/15/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@beneschlaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/566,925	FISHER, HUGH				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew J. Gitlin	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this α ○ (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan						
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
	-/					

Application/Control Number: 10/566,925 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- Species I; As disclosed in Figures 1-7
- Species II; As disclosed in Figures 9-12
- Species III; As disclosed in Figures 13-14
- Species IV; As disclosed in Figures 15A, 15B, 15C
- Species V; As disclosed in Figures 16A, 16B, 16C
 - o Alternative Joint Arrangement Feature
 - Sub Feature I; As disclosed in Figure 16D
 - Sub Feature II; As disclosed in Figure 16E
 - Sub Feature III; As disclosed in Figure 17B
 - O Attachment Means Feature
 - Sub Feature I; As disclosed in Figure 16F
 - o Sub Feature II; As disclosed in Figure 17A
- Species VI; As disclosed in Figures 18A, 18B, 18C

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single Species, and associated Sub Feature, (if applicable) to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species,

Art Unit: 3635

including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
 Claims 1-10 correspond to Species I, Claims 11-28 correspond to Species IV, and Claims

 29-37 correspond to Species II.

The following claim(s) are generic: No Claims are generic.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Species I and Species IV lack corresponding technical features in that the method of installation differs since Species I is an integrally folded member and Species IV is attached via separate fastening members. Species I and IV differ from Species II because, Species II corresponds to a door lintel block which shares no special technical features, or can be used interchangeable with Species I or IV.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,925 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Gitlin whose telephone number is (571)270-5525. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (7:30am-5:00pm EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571)272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/M. J. G./ Examiner, Art Unit 3635 /Richard E. Chilcot, Jr./
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3635